

Quark Coalescence with Quark Number Conservation and the Effect on Quark-Hadron Scaling

Zi-Wei Lin

*Department of Physics, East Carolina University,
C-209 Howell Science Complex, Greenville, NC 27858*

(Dated: April 14, 2009)

We develop the quark coalescence model by including the quark number conservation in order to describe the hadronization of the bulk of the quark-gluon plasma. The scalings between hadron and quark phase space distributions are shown to depend on the transverse momentum. For hard quarks, our general scalings reproduce the usual quadratic scaling for mesons and the cubic scaling for baryons. For softer quarks, the inclusion of the quark number conservation leads to a linear scaling for the hadron species that dominates the quark number of a flavor, while the scalings of non-dominant hadrons are sensitive to the coalescence dynamics. For charm mesons, we find that the distribution of soft D mesons does not depend on the light quark distribution but the distribution of soft J/ψ mesons is inversely correlated to light quarks.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Mh, 25.75.Ld, 25.75.Nq

1 Introduction

For the dense matter created in ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions such as those at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), the quark coalescence model provides a hadronization model. When the quark-gluon plasma reaches the hadronization hypersurface after expansion, a quark and an anti-quark can form a meson while three quarks can form a baryon. As a result, meson and baryon momentum distributions are proportional to the square and the cube, respectively, of the quark momentum distribution. This has led to the scaling of hadron elliptic flows with the valence quark number in the hadron [1, 2, 3], a novel scaling of heavy hadrons when the coalescing quarks have different masses [4], and an enhanced baryon-to-meson ratio [5, 6, 7, 8].

However, the current formulation of the quark coalescence model only applies to partons above a moderate transverse momentum scale $p_{\perp 0}$, where the coalescence probability is small and most of these partons hadronize via other processes such as fragmentation. This limitation is due to the lack of the conservation of quark numbers of each flavor, or the lack of unitarity, during the coalescence process in the current quark coalescence formulation. For the bulk of partons in the quark-gluon plasma that are soft with transverse momenta well below the scale $p_{\perp 0}$, quark coalescence is expected to be the dominant hadronization process within the model, but a formulation including the quark number conservation is necessary. Several earlier attempts to include unitarity have been made. In the algebraic coalescence re-hadronization (ALCOR) model, the quadratic and cubic scaling relations are used to describe meson and baryon multiplicities, respectively; and quark number conservations are enforced by the normalization constants [9]. Another earlier study to include unitarity [10] addressed the

total multiplicities of different hadrons from quark coalescence and found that, as expected, hadron multiplicity is linearly proportional to the initial quark number. In a different approach, a multi-phase transport (AMPT) model [11] converts nearby quarks into hadrons one-by-one and therefore satisfies the quark number conservation; however, the model needs to be improved to better address the hadronization criterion that determines the average parton density at coalescence.

In this study we incorporate the quark number conservation in the quark coalescence model so that the model can be applied to quarks at all transverse momenta. The general results as functions of quark transverse momentum are expected to yield the familiar quadratic and cubic scaling behavior [1, 4, 5, 6] for hard quarks. For soft quarks where the coalescence probability approaches one, the results should conserve the quark numbers of each flavor.

2 The formulation including the quark number conservation

Let us write $f(\mathbf{p}, x) = (2\pi)^3 dN / (d^3x d^3p)$ as the phase space distribution of particles. A convenient formula for the coalescence production of meson M via $\alpha\beta \rightarrow M$ is [12]

$$E \frac{dN_M(\mathbf{p})}{d^3p} = \int \frac{d\sigma^\mu p_\mu}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3q g_M |\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{q})|^2 f_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_\alpha, x) f_\beta(\mathbf{p}_\beta, x), (1)$$

where $\mathbf{p} \equiv \mathbf{p}_\alpha + \mathbf{p}_\beta$, $\mathbf{q} \equiv \mathbf{p}_\alpha - \mathbf{p}_\beta$, and g_M is the statistical factor for forming the meson. The meson wavefunction is normalized as $\int d^3q |\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{q})|^2 = 1$, and the first integration in Eq. (1) runs over a 3-dimensional space-time hypersurface. Assuming that the hadronization time scale is short so that the parton hypersurface during coalescence is unchanged and the hypersurface of formed hadrons

is the same as that of the coalescing quarks, we write $E dN_M(\mathbf{p})/d^3p = \int d\sigma^\mu p_\mu f_M(\mathbf{p}, x)/(2\pi)^3$, where the integration is over the same hypersurface. The coalescence yield from a local hypersurface can then be written as

$$f_M(\mathbf{p}, x) = \int d^3q g_M |\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{q})|^2 f_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_\alpha, x) f_\beta(\mathbf{p}_\beta, x). \quad (2)$$

To include the quark number conservation, we need to take into account the fact that the quark number decreases as quarks coalesce into hadrons. We thus consider the time evolution of the coalescence process. To differentiate from the time variable used to represent the hypersurface, let us use t_c to represent the time variable of the coalescence process. For a local hypersurface at x , we write

$$f'_M(\mathbf{p}, x, t_c) = \int d^3q C_M(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, x, t_c) f_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_\alpha, x, t_c) f_\beta(\mathbf{p}_\beta, x, t_c), \quad (3)$$

where $f'_M(\mathbf{p}, x, t_c) \equiv df_M(\mathbf{p}, x, t_c)/dt_c$, and the phase space distributions such as $f_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_\alpha, x, t_c)$ and $f_M(\mathbf{p}, x, t_c)$ are time-dependent. The term $C_M(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, x, t_c)$ represents the probability for the coalescence production of meson M that could depend on x and time t_c .

When the internal momenta of the coalescing quarks in a hadron can be neglected, we can write

$$C_M(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, x, t_c) = c_M(\mathbf{p}, x, t_c) \delta(\mathbf{q}) \quad (4)$$

for meson M . When valence quarks have the same mass, Eq. (3) reduces to

$$f'_M(\mathbf{p}_M, x, t_c) = c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, x, t_c) f_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_q, x, t_c) f_\beta(\mathbf{p}_q, x, t_c), \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_M = N_{qM} \mathbf{p}_q$ with $N_{qM} = 2$, and $c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, x, t_c)$ represents the coalescence coefficient for the meson. Similarly, for baryon productions via $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \rightarrow B$, we can write

$$f'_B(\mathbf{p}_B, x, t_c) = c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, x, t_c) f_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_q, x, t_c) f_\beta(\mathbf{p}_q, x, t_c) f_\gamma(\mathbf{p}_q, x, t_c), \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_B = N_{qB} \mathbf{p}_q$ with $N_{qB} = 3$, and $c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, x, t_c)$ represents the coalescence coefficients for the baryon.

The local conservations of quark numbers during coalescence are given by a set of equations. An example is the following, which applies to quark flavor α when it appears as a constituent quark once in meson M and once in baryon B :

$$f'_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_q, x, t_c) = -N_{qM}^3 f'_M(\mathbf{p}_M, x, t_c) - N_{qB}^3 f'_B(\mathbf{p}_B, x, t_c). \quad (7)$$

For a local coalescence process that starts at t_0 and ends at t_F , the initial conditions for coalescence are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(\mathbf{p}_q, x, t_0) &\equiv f_0(\mathbf{p}_q, x), \\ f_M(\mathbf{p}_M, x, t_0) &= 0, f_B(\mathbf{p}_B, x, t_0) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for quark flavor α , meson M and baryon B , respectively. In the following we mostly use the simplified notations, where the label x is omitted in all functions and the variable t_c is rewritten as t .

3 Consideration of one meson and one baryon species

We consider the coalescence productions of one meson species and one baryon species via $q + \bar{q} \rightarrow M$, $q + q + \bar{q} \rightarrow B$, and $\bar{q} + \bar{q} + \bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{B}$. Let us assume zero baryon chemical potential for simplicity. For quark distributions at momentum $\mathbf{p}_q$, we have the following rate equations

$$\begin{aligned} f'_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) &= c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) f_q^2(\mathbf{p}_q, t), \\ f'_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) &= c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) f_q^3(\mathbf{p}_q, t). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The conservation of quark numbers is given by

$$f'_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t) = -N_{qM}^3 f'_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) - 3N_{qB}^3 f'_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t). \quad (10)$$

Therefore the quark distribution is given by

$$f'_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t) = -N_{qM}^3 c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) f_q^2(\mathbf{p}_q, t) - 3N_{qB}^3 c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) f_q^3(\mathbf{p}_q, t) \quad (11)$$

3.1 Solutions when coalescence coefficients have the same time-dependence

If the meson and baryon coalescence coefficients have the same time-dependence, we can define

$$r(\mathbf{p}_q) \equiv \frac{3N_{qB}^3 c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t)}{N_{qM}^3 c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)}. \quad (12)$$

Eq. (11) then has the following solution for $f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mathbf{p}_q) \ln \left[\left(\frac{1 + r(\mathbf{p}_q) f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)}{1 + r(\mathbf{p}_q) f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t)} \right) \left(\frac{f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t)}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)} \right) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t)} - \frac{1}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)} = I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) \equiv N_{qM}^3 \int_{t_0}^t c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, u) du. \quad (13)$$

We first consider the limit $r(\mathbf{p}_q) \rightarrow 0$, where meson productions dominate. We then obtain the solutions at the leading order in $r(\mathbf{p}_q)$ as

$$f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t) \simeq \frac{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)}{1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)}, \quad (14)$$

$$f_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) \simeq \frac{f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)}{N_{qM}^3 [1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)]}, \quad (15)$$

$$f_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) \simeq \frac{f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q) r(\mathbf{p}_q)}{6N_{qB}^3} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{[1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)]^2} \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Therefore the coalescence probability of quarks at momentum $\mathbf{p}_q$ is given by

$$p_{coal.}(\mathbf{p}_q) = 1 - \frac{f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t_F)}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F)}. \quad (17)$$

If we define the scale $p_{\perp 0}$ so that $p_{coal.}(\mathbf{p}_q) = 1/2$, $p_{\perp 0}$ then corresponds to the quark transverse momentum where $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) = 1$.

For hard quarks, those with transverse momenta well above the scale $p_{\perp 0}$, they mostly hadronize via other processes such as fragmentation, thus $p_{coal.}(\mathbf{p}_q) \ll 1$, which is equivalent to $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) \ll 1$ according to Eq. (17). This is consistent with the fact that $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)$ decreases rapidly as the transverse momentum increases. We then obtain the final hadron distributions from the coalescence of hard quarks as

$$\begin{aligned} f_M^{hard}(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) &\simeq f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F)/N_{qM}^3, \\ f_B^{hard}(\mathbf{p}_B, t_F) &\simeq f_0^3(\mathbf{p}_q)I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t_F)/(3N_{qB}^3), \\ \text{with } I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) &\equiv 3N_{qB}^3 \int_{t_0}^t c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, u) du. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Note that for hard quarks we have $f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t) \simeq f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)$, the time-integration of Eq. (3) then gives

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_F} C_M(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, x, t_c) dt_c \simeq g_M |\Psi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{q})|^2. \quad (19)$$

When the internal momenta of the coalescing quarks in a hadron are neglected, the above reduces to

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_F} c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) dt \simeq g_M. \quad (20)$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_F} c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) dt \simeq g_B \quad (21)$$

for fast quarks. Therefore the functions $I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F)$, $I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t_F)$ and $r(\mathbf{p}_q)$ for fast quarks are constants that do not depend on ϕ , the azimuthal angle of the momentum vector $\mathbf{p}_q$ in the transverse plane of a heavy ion collision. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} f_M^{hard}(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) &\propto f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q), \\ f_B^{hard}(\mathbf{p}_B, t_F) &\propto f_0^3(\mathbf{p}_q). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

These are just the scaling relations of the previous quark coalescence model [1, 4, 5, 6]. Consequently, when the quark momentum distribution has an azimuthal asymmetry that is dominated by the $\cos(2\phi)$ term, the above scalings lead to the following quark-number scaling of the hadron elliptic flows (v_2) in ultra-relativistic heavy ions collisions [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2,M}^{hard}(p_{\perp}) &\simeq 2v_{2,q}(p_{\perp}/N_{qM}), \\ v_{2,B}^{hard}(p_{\perp}) &\simeq 3v_{2,q}(p_{\perp}/N_{qB}). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We note that the fragmentation process, which dominates the particle yields at very high transverse momentum [5], is not considered in this study.

For soft quarks, those with transverse momenta well below the scale $p_{\perp 0}$, they mostly coalesce and thus $p_{coal.}(\mathbf{p}_q) \simeq 1$, i.e., $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) \gg 1$. The final hadron distributions from the coalescence of soft quarks are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_M^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) &\simeq f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)/N_{qM}^3, \\ f_B^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_B, t_F) &\simeq f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q)r(\mathbf{p}_q)/(6N_{qB}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The above linear scaling of the dominant mesons is the result of including the quark number conservation in the formulation. Consequently, it leads to the following scaling of meson elliptic flows:

$$v_{2,M}^{soft}(p_{\perp}) \simeq v_{2,q}(p_{\perp}/N_{qM}). \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, the scaling of the non-dominant baryons is quadratic in this case. If $r(\mathbf{p}_q)$ for soft quarks also does not depend on the angle ϕ , we have

$$v_{2,B}^{soft}(p_{\perp}) \simeq 2v_{2,q}(p_{\perp}/N_{qB}). \quad (26)$$

Comparing the scalings of Eqs.(25-26) with those in Eq.(23), we find that the scalings of hadron elliptic flows with the quark elliptic flow for soft quarks are weaker than those for hard quarks. This can be understood from Eq. (14), which says that the azimuthal asymmetry in the quark momentum distribution during the coalescence process will be reduced from its initial magnitude. For hard quarks this reduction is negligible because $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) \ll 1$ is true throughout the coalescence process. For soft quarks, however, the azimuthal asymmetry in the quark momentum distribution will gradually vanish during coalescence, leading to weaker scalings of soft hadron elliptic flows with the quark elliptic flow.

Secondly, let us consider the opposite limit $r(\mathbf{p}_q) \rightarrow \infty$ by keeping $c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t)$ fixed while decreasing $c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)$. In this case, baryon productions dominate, and we obtain the solutions at the leading order in $1/r(\mathbf{p}_q)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t) &\simeq \frac{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)}{\sqrt{1 + 2f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q)I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t)}}, \\ f_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) &\simeq \frac{\ln[1 + 2f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q)I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t)]}{2N_{qM}^3 r(\mathbf{p}_q)}, \\ f_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) &\simeq \frac{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)}{3N_{qB}^3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q)I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t)}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The scale $p_{\perp 0}$ in this case corresponds to the quark transverse momentum where $f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q)I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t_F) = 3/2$. For hard quarks well above the transverse momentum $p_{\perp 0}$, the final hadron distributions are the same as Eq. (18). However, hadron distributions from the coalescence of soft quarks are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_M^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) &\simeq \ln[2f_0^2(\mathbf{p}_q)I_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t)] / [2N_{qM}^3 r(\mathbf{p}_q)], \\ f_B^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_B, t_F) &\simeq f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)/(3N_{qB}^3). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We see a linear scaling of the dominant baryons in this case due to the quark number conservation, but the scaling of the non-dominant mesons is different. Similar to the $r(\mathbf{p}_q) \rightarrow 0$ case, from Eq. (27) we also see that the asymmetry in the quark momentum distribution of soft quarks will gradually vanish during the coalescence process, leading to weaker scalings of hadron elliptic flows with the quark elliptic flow for soft quarks than for hard quarks.

3.2 Solutions when mesons dominate

Without assuming that the baryon and meson coalescence coefficients have the same time-dependence, we now consider Eqs. (9-11) in the limit that mesons dominate. In this case, the leading-order solutions of $f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t)$ and $f_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)$ are still given by Eq. (14) and Eq. (15), respectively. However, the baryon distribution is given by

$$f_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) \simeq \int_{t_0}^t \frac{f_0^3(\mathbf{p}_q) c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, u) du}{[1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, u)]^3}. \quad (29)$$

For hard quarks well above the scale $p_{\perp 0}$, the above is the same as the baryon distribution in Eq. (18). For soft quarks, however, the scaling behavior of the non-dominant baryons depends on the coalescence dynamics, which are represented by the coalescence coefficients $c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)$ and $c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t)$. For example, if $c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) = \epsilon c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)$ with $\epsilon \ll 1$, we have

$$f_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) \simeq \frac{\epsilon f_0^3(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M^2(\mathbf{p}_M, t)}{2N_{qM}^3 [1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)]^2}. \quad (30)$$

For soft quarks, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} f_B^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_B, t) &\simeq \epsilon f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) / (2N_{qM}^3), \\ v_{2,B}^{soft}(p_{\perp}) &\simeq v_{2,q}(p_{\perp} / N_{qB}). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, if $c_B(\mathbf{p}_B, t) \propto c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)$ as assumed in Eq. (12), the baryon distributions of Eq. (16) and Eq. (24) are reproduced, where soft baryons follow a different scaling as given by Eq. (26). Therefore the dynamics of coalescence can be probed by studying hadrons from the coalescence of quarks below the scale $p_{\perp 0}$.

4 Charm mesons

We consider the coalescence production of charm mesons via $\bar{q} + c \rightarrow D$, $q + \bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{D}$, and $c + \bar{c} \rightarrow J/\psi$, in addition to the coalescence production of a light meson species via $q + \bar{q} \rightarrow M$. Assuming zero baryon chemical potential for simplicity, we solve the following rate

equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f'_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) &= c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) f_q^2(\mathbf{p}_q, t), \\ f'_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t) &= c_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t) f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t) f_c(\mathbf{p}_c, t), \\ f'_\psi(\mathbf{p}_\psi, t) &= c_\psi(\mathbf{p}_\psi, t) f_c^2(\mathbf{p}_c, t), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

together with the following equations that represent the conservations of light quark and charm quark numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} f'_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t) &= -N_{qM}^3 f'_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) - f'_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t) / z_{qD}^3, \\ f'_c(\mathbf{p}_c, t) &= -f'_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t) / z_{cD}^3 - N_{qM}^3 f'_\psi(\mathbf{p}_\psi, t). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

In the above, $z_{qD} = m_q / (m_c + m_q)$, $z_{cD} = m_c / (m_c + m_q)$, where m_q and m_c are the effective masses of the valence light quark and charm quark, respectively. The light quark momentum involved in the above equations is $\mathbf{p}_q$, and as a result the charm quark momentum in consideration is given by $\mathbf{p}_c = \mathbf{p}_q m_c / m_q$ [4]. We also have $\mathbf{p}_D = \mathbf{p}_q / z_{qD}$ and $\mathbf{p}_\psi = N_{qM} \mathbf{p}_c$.

Assuming that light mesons dominate over D mesons in the light quark sector and D mesons dominate over J/ψ mesons in the charm quark sector, we obtain the following solutions at the leading order in $f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c) / f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)$:

$$f_c(\mathbf{p}_c, t) \simeq f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t c_D(\mathbf{p}_D, u) f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, u) / z_{cD}^3 du}, \quad (34)$$

where $f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c) \equiv f_c(\mathbf{p}_c, t_0)$ represents the charm quark distribution just before coalescence, and $f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t)$ is given by Eq. (14) at the leading order. The light meson distribution $f_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)$ is given by Eq. (15), and the D meson distribution is given by

$$f_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t) \simeq f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c) z_{cD}^3 \left(1 - e^{-\int_{t_0}^t c_D(\mathbf{p}_D, u) f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, u) / z_{cD}^3 du} \right). \quad (35)$$

We now consider the case where the coalescence coefficients have the same time-dependence, and define

$$\begin{aligned} r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) &\equiv \frac{c_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t)}{c_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) z_{cD}^3 N_{qM}^3}, \\ r_\psi(\mathbf{p}_\psi) &\equiv \frac{c_\psi(\mathbf{p}_\psi, t) z_{cD}^3 N_{qM}^3}{c_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The solutions then simplify to

$$f_c(\mathbf{p}_c, t) \simeq \frac{f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c)}{[1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)]^{r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)}}, \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_D(\mathbf{p}_D, t) &\simeq f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c) z_{cD}^3 \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{[1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)]^{r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)}} \right\}, \\ f_\psi(\mathbf{p}_\psi, t) &\simeq \frac{f_{c0}^2(\mathbf{p}_c) r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) r_\psi(\mathbf{p}_\psi)}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) [1 - 2r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)] N_{qM}^3} \\ &\times \left\{ [1 + f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t)]^{1-2r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)} - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

According to Eq. (37), the coalescence transverse momentum scale for charm quarks is given by $p'_{\perp 0} m_c / m_q$,

where $p'_{\perp 0}$ corresponds to the light quark transverse momentum where $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t) = 2^{1/r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)} - 1$. Thus $p'_{\perp 0}$ could be quite different from $p_{\perp 0}$, the coalescence transverse momentum scale for light quarks.

For the coalescence of hard quarks, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_D^{hard}(\mathbf{p}_D, t_F) &\simeq f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c) z_{cD}^3 r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F), \\ f_{\psi}^{hard}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}, t_F) &\simeq f_{c0}^2(\mathbf{p}_c) r_{\psi}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}) r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) / N_{qM}^3 \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which reproduce the previous scaling relations [4]. For soft quarks, we first note that, according to Eq. (37) and the solution of $f_q(\mathbf{p}_q, t)$ in Eq. (14), the assumptions that we made in obtaining the solutions of Eqs. (37-38) are valid when $r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) \geq 1$. If $r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) < 1$, the charm quark distribution decreases with time at a slower rate than the light quark distribution, and therefore the number of charm quarks would be comparable with that of light quarks after a certain time. However, this cannot happen as long as $[f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F)]^{1-r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)} \ll f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)/f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c)$, and we limit our discussion to this case. For soft quarks with $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F) \gg 1$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} f_D^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_D, t_F) &\simeq f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c) z_{cD}^3, \\ f_{\psi}^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}, t_F) &\simeq \frac{f_{c0}^2(\mathbf{p}_c) r_{\psi}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}) r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) [2r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) - 1] N_{qM}^3} \text{ for } r_D \geq 1, \\ &\simeq \frac{f_{c0}^2(\mathbf{p}_c) r_{\psi}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}) r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) [2r_D(\mathbf{p}_D) - 1] N_{qM}^3} \text{ for } 1 > r_D > 1/2, \\ &\simeq \frac{f_{c0}^2(\mathbf{p}_c) r_{\psi}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}) r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) N_{qM}^3} \ln[f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F)] \\ &\quad \text{for } r_D = 1/2, \\ &\simeq \frac{f_{c0}^2(\mathbf{p}_c) r_{\psi}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}) r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)}{f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) [1 - 2r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)] N_{qM}^3} \\ &\quad \times [f_0(\mathbf{p}_q) I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F)]^{1-2r_D(\mathbf{p}_D)} \text{ for } r_D < 1/2. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Note that the above solution of $f_{\psi}^{soft}(\mathbf{p}_{\psi}, t_F)$ for $1 > r_D > 1/2$ is valid if r_D is not very close to $1/2$ so that $[f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)/f_{c0}(\mathbf{p}_c)]^{(2r_D-1)/(1-r_D)} \gg 1$ is satisfied, and the above solution for $r_D < 1/2$ is valid if r_D is not very close to $1/2$ so that $[f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)I_M(\mathbf{p}_M, t_F)]^{1-2r_D} \gg 1$ is satisfied.

We find that, contrary to naive expectations, the distribution of soft D mesons only depends on the charm quark distribution. As a result, we have

$$v_{2,D}^{soft}(p_{\perp}) \simeq v_{2,c}(z_{cD} p_{\perp}), \quad (41)$$

which is different from the result for hard D mesons [4]:

$$v_{2,D}^{hard}(p_{\perp}) \simeq v_{2,c}(z_{cD} p_{\perp}) + v_{2,q}(z_{qD} p_{\perp}). \quad (42)$$

Furthermore, the distributions of soft J/ψ mesons are proportional to $f_{c0}^2(\mathbf{p}_c)/f_0^a(\mathbf{p}_q)$ with a being positive (modulo a logarithmic dependence on $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)$), and this leads to

$$v_{2,\psi}^{soft}(p_{\perp}) \simeq 2v_{2,c}(p_{\perp}/N_{qM}) - a v_{2,q}(p_{\perp} m_q / N_{qM} / m_c). \quad (43)$$

For example, if there is no charm elliptic flow, the elliptic flow of J/ψ mesons would be negative since light quarks have a positive elliptic flow. We may understand this correlation from Eq. (37), which shows that the charm quark distribution during the coalescence process is inversely correlated to the light quark distribution $f_0(\mathbf{p}_q)$. If there is no azimuthal asymmetry in the charm quark momentum distribution from the parton phase, $f_c(\mathbf{p}_c, t_0)$ does not depend on the azimuthal angle of the charm quark momentum $\mathbf{p}_c$. After time t_0 , however, Eq. (37) shows that the charm quark momentum distribution $f_c(\mathbf{p}_c, t)$ will have an azimuthal asymmetry that has an opposite sign to the asymmetry in the light quark momentum distribution. Because the formation rate of the J/ψ meson distribution at any given time during coalescence is proportional to the square of the charm meson distribution at that time, J/ψ mesons thus have an elliptic flow that has an opposite sign as the light quark elliptic flow.

5 Discussion

The final hadron distributions that we derive in this study are the distributions just after quark coalescence. Later rescatterings in the hadron phase, which could significantly affect hadron momentum spectra and particle ratios, have been neglected. The distributions are only derived for a local hypersurface, therefore the effect from integrating over the full hypersurface is not studied. Numerical estimates of quantities such as the initial quark distributions and coalescence coefficients need to be done to obtain the relevant solutions as well as the coalescence momentum scales for relativistic heavy ion collisions. Also missing is the effect of the internal momenta of coalescing quarks, which may be important for soft hadrons [13]. Furthermore, resonance decays have been shown to affect the elliptic flow, especially that of pions [13]; however, we have not considered multiple hadron species including resonances.

6 Conclusions

We have developed a quark coalescence model that includes the quark number conservation. We find that scalings between hadron and quark momentum distributions depend on the quark transverse momentum. For hard quarks, our general results reproduce the usual scaling relations. For softer quarks, however, hadrons that dominate the quark number of each flavor exhibit a linear scaling due to the quark number conservation, while the scalings of non-dominant hadrons are sensitive to the coalescence dynamics. Charm mesons are also studied. Contrary to naive expectations, we find that the distribution of soft D mesons does not depend on the light quark distribution, while the distribution of soft J/ψ mesons is

inversely correlated to the light quark distribution. These quark-hadron scalings as functions of particle momentum can be used to test the quark coalescence model as an effective hadronization model for partonic matter, and the confirmation would provide new evidence for the formation of the quark-gluon plasma in relativistic heavy ion collisions.

-
- [1] D. Molnar and S. A. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 092301 (2003).
 - [2] S. S. Adler *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 182301 (2003)
 - [3] B. I. Abelev *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 112301 (2007)
 - [4] Z. W. Lin and D. Molnar, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 044901 (2003).
 - [5] R. J. Fries, B. Muller, C. Nonaka and S. A. Bass, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 202303 (2003).
 - [6] V. Greco, C. M. Ko and P. Levai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 202302 (2003).
 - [7] S. S. Adler *et al.* [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **69**, 034909 (2004)
 - [8] J. Adams *et al.* [STAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 062301 (2007)
 - [9] J. Zimanyi, T. S. Biro, T. Csorgo and P. Levai, Phys. Lett. B **472**, 243 (2000).
 - [10] C. B. Yang, J. Phys. G **32**, L11 (2006).
 - [11] Z. W. Lin, C. M. Ko, B. A. Li, B. Zhang and S. Pal, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 064901 (2005).
 - [12] C. B. Dover *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **44**, 1636 (1991).
 - [13] V. Greco and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 024901 (2004).